

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

COURT FOR WHICH CANDIDATE APPLIES: SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL

1. The candidate's appropriate qualifications

1.1 The candidate has the following qualifications:

1.1.1 BA (University of Stellenbosch) (1981): and

1.1.2 LLB (University of Stellenbosch) (1983).

1.2 The candidate is appropriately qualified.

2. Whether the candidate is a fit and proper person

2.1 The candidate has served as a sitting judge of the High Court of South Africa since November 2007. A review of his judgments provides no indication that he would not be able to fulfil his role as an Appeal Court Judge.

2.2 He was an Acting Judge of the Supreme Court of Appeal from April 2013 to December 2013.

2.3 No adverse comments have been received.

3. Whether the candidate's appointment would help to reflect the racial and gender composition of South Africa

3.1 The candidate is a white man.

3.2 Currently, the Supreme Court of Appeal comprises twenty members, consisting of five black women, nine black men, two white women and four white men. Fifteen members are

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

men (four are white and eleven black) and seven are women (two white and five black).

3.3 All the shortlisted candidates for the Supreme Court of Appeal for the present JSC sitting are men. This may well be a reflection of the fact that women are relatively poorly represented on the benches of the various High Courts.

3.4 Whilst the appointment of a male candidate – which is unavoidable in the present round of appointments – will not advance the cause of gender representation, the reviewers do not, in the light of the current racial composition of the Supreme Court of Appeal, consider the candidate's race to be material.

4. **The candidate's knowledge of the law, including constitutional law**

4.1 The candidate has a wide knowledge of the law and has produced judgments on a wide range of topics.

4.2 His judgments are clear, well-reasoned and comprehensively researched.

4.3 He displays sensitivity towards vulnerable groups and issues. He has developed the law pertaining to the rights of children in terms of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. He has also developed the law pertaining to the maximum period of detention of illegal immigrants pending deportation.

4.4 During a recent acting appointment in the SCA Judge Meyer wrote an important judgment in *Harmony Gold Mining*

Company Ltd v Regional Director: Free State Department of Water Affairs and Others (971/12) [2013] ZASCA 206 (4 December 2013). The judgment recognised the on-going obligation of a mining company to prevent pollution by pumping subterranean water, despite the company no longer owning or being in control of the land. This important judgment is considered below.

4.5 Harmony had been unsuccessful in an application before the Gauteng Provincial Division to set aside directives issued by the Department of Water Affairs in terms of section 19 of the National Water Act 36 of 1998. The directives required a number of mining companies operating mines in the North-West Province, including Harmony, to extract underground water from a number of shafts, and on properties not owned by the named mining companies. Harmony argued that whilst it had operated mines on behalf of ARMGold at the time the directive was issued, this relationship had terminated once ARMGold sold its mining business and the land to Pamodzi Gold Orkney (Pty) Ltd. Thus, said Harmony, it no longer owned, operated or controlled the land in question, as contemplated in section 19(1) of the National Water Act, and was no longer bound by the directive to contribute to the costs of pumping.

4.6 On appeal before the SCA, Judge Meyer interpreted section 19 of the National Water Act with reference to section 24 of the Constitution, which confers on everyone the right to an environment which is not harmful to their life or well-being and to have the environment protected for the benefit of

present and future generations. The interpretation was also guided by the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 which requires that the cost of remedying must be paid for by those responsible. The process of interpretation led Judge Meyer to conclude that section 19 of the National Water Act should not be limited in the manner contended for by Harmony, and that Harmony remained liable to contribute to the costs of preventing and remedying pollution, as required by the directive.

4.7 This judgment demonstrates a welcome, purposive approach to the interpretation of environmental legislation, which promotes the rights and concerning the environment, reflecting the values of the Constitution. Many mines in South Africa are reaching the end of their productive lives, but still having the potential to cause environmental damage, particularly as a result of fissure water that requires extraction and treatment. This judgment recognises the ongoing obligation of mining companies to manage the risk of pollution caused by the commercial exploitation of mineral resources.

5. **The candidate's commitment to the values of the Constitution**

5.1 The judgments delivered by the candidate indicate a proper and appropriate understanding and application of the Constitution, particularly that in *Harmony* (above).

5.2 During his tenure at the Johannesburg Bar the candidate was actively involved in transformation initiatives in his group, The Bridge Group, as well as at the Bar level. He was

involved in the devising and implementation of a financial support strategy for the benefit of pupils as well as newly qualified advocates in the group aimed at redressing racial and gender imbalance and correcting skewed briefing patterns.

5.3 As a judge he has been actively involved in the training of aspirant judges as well as magistrates by acting as a facilitator and also as an author of course material

6. Whether any judgments have been overturned on appeal

6.1 Apart from the judgments listed by the candidate 16.4 of his application, no other judgments overturned on appeal could be identified.

7. The extent and breadth of the candidate's professional experience

7.1 The candidate practised as an advocate of the Johannesburg bar for a period of approximately 20 years, 3 years of which was as Silk.

7.2 He acted for a cumulative period of approximately 6 months as a judge in the Johannesburg High Court before his appointment as a permanent judge on 12 November 2007.

7.3 In 2013 he acted in the Supreme Court of Appeal for a period of 9 months.

7.4 The candidate had a large practice whilst at the Johannesburg bar and acted in cases covering a broad spectrum of the law.

7.5 His judgments (as a judge of the Johannesburg High Court and as an acting judge of the Supreme Court of Appeal) cover

a wide area of the law and serve as an indication that the candidate commands a creative legal mind and is not inhibited in finding innovative solutions to legal problems.

8. The candidate's linguistic and communication skills

8.1 The candidate has an appropriate command of the English language and expresses himself clearly and succinctly.

8.2 His judgments are well-structured and are a model of linguistic excellence and clarity.

9. The candidate's ability to produce judgments promptly

9.1 The candidate indicates that he has no outstanding judgments.

9.2 He produces judgments efficiently and generally within one month after the finalisation of the matter.

10. The candidate's fairness and impartiality

10.1 The judgments of the candidate indicate that he has a sound sense of fairness. He seems to be specifically sensitive towards vulnerable groups and issues.

10.2 His judgments demonstrate careful analysis and appropriate weighing of the relevant factors.

11. The candidate's independent mindedness

11.1 The judgments written by the candidate indicate that he is able to apply himself independently in arriving at his decisions.

12. The candidate's ability to conduct court proceedings

12.1 Interviews and informal enquiries conducted among

colleagues at the Johannesburg Bar have not yielded any negative feedback. The candidate engages easily with practitioners who appear before him, and his court demeanour is calm, dignified and pleasant.

13. The candidate's administrative ability

13.1 The candidate's application provides no indication of his administrative ability apart from his involvement in Bar Council matters and as Deputy-chairman of his Group. This involvement testifies to strong administrative skills.

13.2 The candidate's administrative ability is borne out by his prompt and efficient delivery of judgments.

14. The candidate's reputation for integrity and ethical behaviour

14.1 One of the candidate's nominators, former judge of appeal Judge FR Malan, states that the candidate is a fair, unbiased person of unquestionable integrity.

14.2 No adverse comments have been received in this regard

15. The candidate's judicial temperament

15.1 The candidate has a reputation for fairness and impartiality.

15.2 Interviews and informal enquiries amongst colleagues at the Johannesburg Bar indicate that the candidate treats parties appearing before him with the necessary respect.

16. The candidate's commitment to human rights, and experience with regard to the values and needs of the community

16.1 The candidate's judgments demonstrate an understanding of,

and sensitivity for, constitutional rights and values. While at the Bar, he demonstrated a commitment to promoting the transformation of the legal profession. As a judge he has also been actively involved in the training of aspirant judges and magistrates.

17. The candidate's potential

17.1 The candidate has proven himself as a competent judge. He is committed to the Constitution and the need of assisting in the development of practitioners of the Law, be it pupil advocates, junior advocates, magistrates and aspiring judges. He has the requisite experience and displays the potential to be a competent Appeal Court Judge and an asset to the judicial community.

18. The message that the candidate's appointment would send to the community at large

18.1 The candidate is ideally suited to fulfil his role as a judge of the Supreme Court of Appeal.

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

ANNEXURE: LIST OF JUDGMENTS CONSIDERED

Reported decisions

Minister of Agriculture v CM de Klerk (747/2012) [2013] ZASCA 142 (30 September 2013); 2014 (1) SA 212 SCA

Aruforse v Minister of Home Affairs and Others 2010 (6) SA 579 (GSJ)

Harmony Gold Mining Company Ltd v Regional Director: Free State Department of Water Affairs and Others (971/12) [2013] ZASCA 206 (4 December 2013)

Budge NO and Others v Midnight Storm Investments 256 (Pty) Ltd and Another, Budge No v Wavelengths 1147 and Another 2012 (2) SA 28 (GSJ)

S v Engelbrecht 2012 (2) SACR 212 (GSJ)

Central Authority for the Republic of South Africa and Another v B 2012 (2) SA 296 (GSJ)

Northern Estate and Trust Administrators (Pty) Ltd v Agricultural and Rural Development Corporation (117/13) [2013] ZASCA 174 (28 November 2013)

Hollely v Auto General Insurance Company Limited 2007 JDR 1219 (W)

B and Others v G 2012 (2) SA 329 (GSJ)

Stabilpave (Pty) Ltd v South African Revenue Services (615/12) [2013] ZASCA 128 (26 September 2013)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Dorbyl Light & General Engineering (Pty) Ltd v Insamcor (WLD Case No 04/19272)

Lombard Insurance Company Ltd v Firstrand Bank Ltd and Others (09/35913) [2011] ZAGPJHC 211 (8 February 2011)

Unreported decisions

S v Magagula (SH224/07) [2008] ZAGPHC 486 (9 December 2008)

S v Mwelase (SH90/2005) [2008] ZAGPHC 485 (13 May 2008)

S v Sithole (SH843/06) [2008] ZAGPHC 479 (25 June 2008)

S v Smith (2007/0263) [2008] ZAGPHC 473 (12 December 2008)

S v Mgabhi (161/07) [2008] ZAGPHC 470 (1 December 2008)

S v Lefutso (253/2007) [2008] ZAGPHC 467 (29 October 2008)

Moitsheki v S (A165/2007) [2008] ZAGPHC 466 (23 October 2008)

S v Mambila (SH796/03) [2008] ZAGPHC 465 (22 September 2008)

S v Mahlangu (SH365/2007) [2008] ZAGPHC 462 (25 June 2008)

S v Msiza (426/07) [2007] ZAGPHC 394 (13 November 2007)

S v Molalose (451/07) [2007] ZAGPHC 393 (13 November 2007)

Gishen v Babu (2005/6018) [2007] ZAGPHC 391 (1 November 2007)

Peet v Roos (2004/30267) [2005] ZAGPHC 381 (3 November 2005)

Khoza v S (03/27289) [2011] ZAGPHC 218 (21 November 2011)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

S v Kgosi (27/11) [2011] ZAGPJHC 215 (27 May 2011)

S v Lekwadu (A 478) [2011] ZAGPJHC 214 (19 May 2011)

S v Matlala (247/2007) [2010] ZAGPJHC 172 (31 March 2010)

S v Mkhabela (22/2009) [2010] ZAGPJHC 171 (10 May 2010)

S v Matlala (247/2007) [2010] ZAGPJHC 170 (28 May 2010)

S v S (09/37186) [2009] ZAGPJHC 119 (18 November 2009)

Nyembezi v S (1458/2008) [2009] ZAGPJHC 116 (9 June 2009)

S v Smith (2007/0263) [2009] ZAGPJHC 107 (17 April 2009)

S v Mahlasela (CC97/2008) [2009] ZAGPJHC 106 (27 March 2009)

Moreira v McLoughlin (A377/2011) [2012] ZAGPPHC 62 (15 May 2012)

S v Mba (42/1955/2004) [2008] ZAGPHC 481 (1 August 2008)

S v Ntsele (A1/1504/05) [2008] ZAGPHC 480 (17 July 2008)

S v Tshabalala and Others (A1267/2006) [2008] ZAGPHC 477 99 June 2008)

S v Ramaisa (SH 430/07) [2008] ZAGPHC 476 (9 June 2008)

S v Mahlasela and Another (CC97/2008) [2008] ZAGPHC 474 (18 December 2008)

S v Mgaga (43/0122/2008) [2008] ZAGPHC 469 (28 November 2008)

S v Nkalanga and Another (A5071/2006) [2008] ZAGPHC 460 (18 June 2008)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

S v Nkalanga and Another (A5071/2006) [2008] ZAGPHC 459 (5 June 2008)

Pretorius v Pretorius and Others (18611/07) [2008] ZAGPHC 458 (16 February 2008)

Khabeer v Sene and Others (2006/56669) [2008] ZAGPHC 453 (22 August 2008)

Malan v Road Accident Fund (05/9227) [2007] ZAGPHC 396 (6 November 2007)

Gardner v Road Accident Fund (06/15685) [2007] ZAGPHC 389 (6 September 2007)

Mathebula v Road Accident Fund (05967/05) [2006] ZAGPHC 261 (8 November 2006)

P and Another v S (27515/2011) [2012] ZAGPJHC 56 (12 April 2012)

M v Road Accident Fund (09439/2010) [2012] ZAGPJHC 37 (12 February 2012)

Ilsey v Lechoba and Another (50748/10) [2011] ZAGPJHC 222 (2 December 2011)

Preston and Another v S (A68/2011) [2011] ZAGPJHC 221 (20 October 2011)

Investec Bank Ltd v Welman (25078/2011) [2011] ZAGPJHC 219 (15 December 2011)

S v Mlombo (CC 25/2010) [2011] ZAGPJHC 212 (18 February 2011)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Horwood v Firstrand Bank Ltd (2010/36853) [2011] ZAGPJHC 121 (21 September 2011)

S v Mlombo (CC 25/2010) [2010] ZAGPJHC 178 (8 November 2010)

Matladi v Road Accident Fund (36243/08) [2010] ZAGPJHC 173 (10 June 2010)

S v Chirwa and Others (SS118/2008) [2010] ZAGPJHC 169 (15 March 2010)

S v Chirwa and Others (SS118/2008) [2010] ZAGPJHC 168 (5 March 2010)

Ferreira v Road Accident Fund (29295/08) [2010] ZAGPJHC 166 (23 September 2010)

Hamaty v Road Accident Fund (03/8026) [2010] ZAGPJHC 162 (5 February 2010)

Twala and Others v S (A188/2009) [2009] ZAGPJHC 122 (30 April 2009)

Zotter v Road Accident Fund (08/15848) [2009] ZAGPJHC 117 (26 October 2009)

S v Villet (DH 712/2009) [2009] ZAGPJHC 112 (14 October 2009)

Molise v Road Accident Fund (12944/07) [2009] ZAGPJHC 111 (14 October 2009)

S v Sejane (C1123/09) [2009] ZAGPJHC 110 (13 October 2009)

Matsipe v Road Accident Fund (04/29811) [2009] ZAGPJHC 108 (20 August 2009)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Khoza v Road Accident Fund (80/9454) [2009] ZAGPHC 100 (3 December 2009)

Van Wyk v Road Accident Fund (05/15893) [2009] ZAGPHC 64 (6 February 2009)

S v Pieterse and Another (JPV 2007/0403) [2008] ZAGPHC 488 (14 February 2008)

Sanlam Life Insurance Ltd v Sibanda (08/13667) [2008] ZAGPHC 484 (20 August 2008)

Kriel NOP v Road Accident Fund (04/30915) [2008] ZAGPHC 483 (29 February 2008)

S v Pieterse and Another (JPV 2007/0403) [2008] ZAGPHC 478 (20 June 2008)

Van Tonder v Road Accident Fund (04/15865) [2008] ZAGPHC 475 (4 August 2008)

S v Nkalanga and Another (CC 375/07) [2008] ZAGPHC 461 (18 June 2008)

Greenberg v De Preez and Another (23302/2002) [2013] ZAGPJHC 67 (31 March 2013)

S v Liesabon and Another (A 566/09) [2011] ZAGPJHC 216 (27 May 2011)

S v Smith and Another (A 566/10) [2011] ZAGPJHC 231 (4 April 2011)

S v Smith and Another (A 566/10) [2011] ZAGPJHC 177 (4 November 2010)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Myhill NO v Road Accident Fund (09/30430) [2010] ZAGPJHC 174 (4 August 2010)

Ubisse v Enviro-Fill (Pty) Ltd (08/31219) [2010] ZAGPJHC 165 (2 August 2010)

Pillay v Minister of Safety and Security (2004/9388) [2008] ZAGPHC 463 (2 September 2008)

Van Rensburg v HTG Life and Others (07/09990) [2007] ZAGPHC 395 (25 October 2007)

Magudu Game Company (Pty) Ltd v Bouwer (07/7248) [2007] ZAGPHC 390 (19 September 2007)

Maluleke v Johnnic Communications Ltd and Others (8933/05) [2006] ZAGPHC 262 (18 October 2006)

Mgcina v Attorneys Insurance Indemnity Fund and Others (03/27289) [2005] ZAGPHC 382 (25 August 2005)

City of Johannesburg v Libertas Hotel and Another (26300/04) [2005] ZAGPHC 380 (15 December 2005)

Maroga v Eskom Holdings Ltd and Others (A5021/11) [2011] ZAGPJHC 171 (16 November 2011)

Kilian and Others v Road Accident Fund (06/14110) [2010] ZAGPJHC 181 (14 June 2010)

Goldberg and Another v Boshoff NO and Another (09/53076) [2010] ZAGPJHC 164 (30 July 2010)

Minister of Home Affairs and Another v Aruforse (2010/1189) [2010] ZAGPJHC 161 (2 February 2010)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

S v V and Others (2003/20813, 2007/9126) [2009] ZAGPJHC 120 (11 December 2009)

Vip Industrial Cleaning CC v Furniture City (07/25471) [2009] ZAGPJHC 118 (9 November 2009)

V v V and Others (2003/20813, 2007/9126) [2009] ZAGPJHC 114 (29 April 2009)

Mhlango and Others v S (A613/2008) [2009] ZAGPJHC 105 (13 March 2009)

Panayiotou v Full Swigh Trading 357 CC (30929/2008) [2009] ZAGPJHC 104 (06 March 2009)

Clearfreight (Pty) Ltd v Pitech Sales CC (186/12) [2013] ZASCA 181 (29 November 2013)

Mostert NO v Wi Capitall (Pty) Ltd and Others (05/14894) [2008] ZAGPHC 472 (5 December 2008)

Van der Westhuizen v Hollard Life Assurance Company Ltd (06/21491) [2008] ZAGPJHC 457 (10 December 2008)

Oberholzer and Others v Body Corporate of Nahoon-San Martinho (07/16806) [2008] ZAGPHC 455 (18 November 2008)

Carrim v Premier of the Gauteng Province and Another (04/12338) ZAGPHC 454 (7 November 2008)

Lombard Insurance Company Ltd v Firstrand Bank Ltd and Others (09/35913) [2011] ZAGPJHC 211 (8 February 2011)

Daltron Forge (Pty) Ltd v Etana Insurance Company Ltd (2011/35677) [2011] ZAGPJHC 129 (3 October 2011)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Director of Public Prosecutions v Holzen No and Radovan Krejcir (28126/07) [2010] ZAGPJHC 129 (3 October 2011)

Gearhouse South Africa (Pty) Ltd v Nqulo and Another (2006/8373) [2010] ZAGPJHC 163 (18 June 2010)

B and Another v B (1592/2010) ZAGPJHC 159 (20 January 2010)

Electro-Motive Sibanye Joint Venture v Transnet Ltd and Others (2009/3994) [2009] ZAGPJHC 113 (11 March 2009)

Marvanic Developments (Pty) Ltd v Minister of Safety and Security (06/21636) [2009] ZAGPJHC 109 (10 October 2009)

Levitan v Mopana Properties 69 and Others (09/46494) [2009] ZAGPJHC 103 (10 December 2009)

Premier of the Gauteng Province v Carrim and Another (04/12338) [2009] ZAGPJHC 98 (1 April 2009)

Copper Cobalt Development Ltd v Thermo Fisher Scientific (Johannesburg) (Pty) Ltd (07/2743) [2008] ZAGPHC 464 (8 September 2008)

ABSA Bank Ltd v Innovent Rental and Asset Management Solutions (Pty) Ltd (14073/2010) [2011] ZAGPJHC 209 (1 September 2011)

Trans Hex Group Ltd v Matsapa Trading 609 CC NO and Others (09/42044) [2010] ZAGPJHC 179 (10 December 2010)

SP&C Catering Investments (Pty) Ltd v Da Cruz and Others (2010/09079) [2010] ZAGPJHC 176 (22 September 2010)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

South African Municipal Workers Union National Provident Fund v City of Johannesburg and Others (08/3523) [2008] ZAGPJHC 471 (3 December 2008)

Baron Izikhwepha Security Services (Pty) Ltd v Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Service (Pty) Ltd (05/4557) [2008] ZAGPJHC 456 (3 December 2008)

Glenrand Mib Financial Services (Pty) Ltd and Others v Van Den Heever NO and Others (4445/07) [2007] ZAGPJHC 392 (23 November 2007)

Eleanor Mary Duncan t/a Inyati Financial Services v Bensure Financial Consultants (Pty) Ltd (A 5060/10) [2012] ZAGPJHC 40 (24 February 2012)

Excellent Petroleum (Pty) Ltd v Synchrony Logistics (Pty) Ltd t/a Imperial Bulk Services (2008/32155) [2011] ZAGPJHC 2010 (8 September 2011)

Excellent Petroleum (Pty) Ltd v Synchrony Logistics (Pty) Ltd t/a Imperial Bulk Services (09/35913) [2011] ZAGPJHC 208 (16 May 2011)

Luxottica South Africa (Pty) Ltd v Stanley Building Investments (Pty) Ltd and Another (20303/10, 20304/10) [2010] ZAGPJHC 175 (10 September 2010)

First Realty (Randburg) CC t/a Chas Everitt international Property Group v Hugo (2006/26060) [2009] ZAGPJHC 99 (20 October 2009)

Spencer and Others v Memani and Another (675/2012) [2013] ZASCA 146 (1 October 2013)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Sandvik Mining and Construction RSA (Pty) Ltd v South African Wetland Rehabilitation (Association Incorporated under Section 21) (07/14045) [2008] ZAGPHC 468 (7 November 2008)

Solenta Aviation (Pty) Ltd v Aviation @Work (Pty) Ltd (754/2012) [2013] ZASCA 103 (12 September 2013)

Topham v MEC for the Department of Health, Mpumalanga (351/2012) [2013] ZASCA 65 (27 May 2013)

Sola Technologies (Pty) Ltd v Optical Eyes Sandton CC and Others, Sola Technologies (Pty) Ltd v Eye Site Gauteng Inc and Others (A5056/05, A5058/05) [2006] ZAGPHC 263 (9 November 2006)

Firststrand Bank Ltd v Powell, Firststrand Bank Ltd v Nsele and Another, Firststrand Bank Ltd v Herbst and Another (2011/9130, 2011/20765, 2011/31969) [2012] ZAGPJHC 20 (6 March 2012)

King Civil Engineering Contractors (Pty) Ltd and Another v Member of the Executive Council for the Department of Transport, Roads and Works of Gauteng Provincial Government and Others (2009/12600) [2009] ZAGPJHC 102 (2 April 2009)

Metlika Trading Ltd v Commissioner for the South African Revenue Services (24997/2011) [2012] ZAGPPHC 360 (20 July 2012)

Chemical, Energy, Paper, Printing, Wood and Allied Workers Union and Another v Shongwe and Others (49285/09) [2009] ZAGPJHC 127 (15 December 2009)

Denmyr Body Corporate v Van Den Bos (52828/09) [2010] ZAGPJHC 189 (1 October 2010)

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Brad Anassis T/A Bikefin Honda v President of MSA National Court of Appeal No 151 and Others (48254/2011) [2013] ZAGPJHC 300 (20 March 2013)

Firststrand Bank Ltd v Arbour Village (Pty) Ltd and Eii Holdings (Pty) Ltd v Arbour Village (Pty) Ltd (2011/6253) [2013] ZAGPPHC 467 (8 August 2013)

Smith v Road Accident Fund (33463/2010) [2012] ZAGPJHC 295 (27 February 2012)

Mashiloane v Road Accident Fund (09349/2010) [2012] ZAGPJHC 295 (27 February 2012)

Two Pedestrians Mining CC v Exxaro NBC Coal (25392/2011) [2013] ZAGPPHC 466 (12 February 2013)

Red Ant (Pty) Ltd v Mogale City Municipality and Others (16813/2012) [2013] ZAGPJHC 301 (22 March 2013)

Peet v Ross (04/30267) [2005] ZAGPHC 381 (3 November 2005)

Van der Westhuizen v Hollard Life Assurance Company Ltd (06/21491) [2008] ZAGPHC 457 (10 December 2008)

Judgments upheld on appeal

None

CANDIDATE: JUDGE PA MEYER

Judgments overturned on appeal

Dorbyl Light & General Engineering (Pty) Ltd v Insamcor (WLD Case No 04/19272)

Lombard Insurance Company Ltd v Firstrand Bank Ltd and Others (09/35913) [2011] ZAGPJHC 211 (8 February 2011)